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Comparison of tunnel widening between interference screw and suture disc fixation after ACL reconstruction using CT scan
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ABSTRACT

Background: It is important to study the cause of tunnel widening which occurs after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction as it may affect tendon to bone healing. Amount of tunnel enlargement that happens after different fixation methods like interference screw or suture discs needs to be compared. The objective of the study was to test the hypothesis that aperture fixation (interference screw) reduces tunnel enlargement compared to suspensory fixation (suture disc) due to reduced graft tunnel motion.

Methods: 24 bone tunnel diameters in 12 patients were evaluated by CT scan postoperatively after ACL reconstruction to measure tunnel widening. Two groups were formed, one consisting of 14 tunnels fixed by interference screws (IFS) and other consisting of 10 tunnels fixed with suture disc (SD). The difference between the two groups was compared by unpaired student’s t test.

Results: The mean tunnel widening in IFS group was 0.414mm while that in the SD group was 1.23mm. The difference between the means of the two groups was statistically significant (p<0.001).

Conclusions: Tunnel widening phenomenon was significantly less with anatomic IFS fixation as compared to suture disc fixation probably due to reduced motion of graft within the walls of bony tunnel and consequently better graft healing.
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INTRODUCTION

Bone tunnel enlargement after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction is a widely reported complication seen with both bone-patellar tendon-bone and hamstring autografts as well as allografts.¹,² The mechanism of tunnel enlargement is not yet fully understood. Various causes have been suggested including discrepancy between size of graft and bone tunnel, graft-tunnel motion (Wind-shield wiper effect and bungee effect), thermal necrosis of bone during drilling, early aggressive rehabilitation etc.³,⁵ Tunnel enlargement is known to occur in first 6 months after ACL reconstruction and declines thereafter.¹⁰,¹¹ It is not seen 2 years after ACL reconstruction. No study has yet identified any effect on clinical stability or arthrometer measurements due to tunnel enlargement.¹¹-¹⁴ Nevertheless, long-term implications and difficulty in revision surgery are matters of concern.¹⁴,¹⁶ With autologous hamstring grafts, extra-cortical or periosteal fixation devices like suture disc or endobutton are very popular, but they are far away from the articular surface and are associated with graft tunnel motion, and suture-stretch out leading to concerns of delayed biological incorporation, tunnel enlargement and secondary rotational and anterior instability.⁵,¹⁵,¹⁷,¹⁸ Aperture fixation devices like interference screws which
are close to the articular surface can allay these concerns. Tunnel enlargement cannot be correctly evaluated using radiographs as they are only two-dimensional (Figure 1) and they cannot show bone tunnels in axial or oblique sections. This is important as femoral and tibial tunnels are oblique both in sagittal and coronal planes. So, we have used CT scan to measure tunnel diameter following ACL reconstruction and compare them with intraoperative tunnel diameters. In this study, we aim to test the hypothesis that anatomic aperture fixation by interference screws will reduce the amount of tunnel enlargement when compared to suspensory extra-cortical fixations like suture disc, presumably due to reduced graft tunnel motion.

METHODS

In our retrospective study, 12 patients are included who underwent an arthroscopic ACL Reconstruction with tripled semitendinosus autograft and fixed with either interference screw or suspensory extra-cortical fixations like endobutton or suture disc. The study was conducted from July 2016 to December 2017 at a rural medical college (mentioned above). The intraoperative tunnel diameter was taken from previous operative record i.e. the final reaming diameters for tibial or femoral tunnel. The bone tunnels were evaluated at follow-up with a CT scan and tunnel diameter was measured. The difference between two measurements gives the amount of bone tunnel enlargement. A total of 24 tunnels were evaluated by CT scan and tunnel diameter was measured. The part of the femoral or tibial tunnel where interference screw (IFS) is located was excluded from measurements because here, it is difficult to define the borders of tunnel and spurious enlargement can be seen due to compression of cancellous bone by IFS. Standard deviation was calculated in both groups and statistical significance was measured by unpaired student’s t-test. All patients were operated by the same surgeon (first author) using same fixation devices and same surgical technique. Post-operative management; all patients followed an aggressive, brace-less mobilisation protocol. They were made to walk full weight-bearing on second day with aid of a walker. ‘Range of motion’ exercises were started immediate post-operatively. After 3 weeks, active strengthening exercises for quadriceps and hamstrings along with closed chain kinetic exercises were advised. After 3 months, agility training is introduced and return to sports allowed at 5-6 months.
RESULTS

Out of 12 patients in the study, a majority (8) were in the 20-30 year age group. There were 9 males and 3 females in the study (Table 1).

Table 1: Age and gender wise distribution of cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (in years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 - 24</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 29</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 - 34</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 - 39</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Distribution of patients based on the side of injury.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>58.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have used a tripled semitendinosus graft for all patients. Grafts were randomly fixed either with interference screw or suture disc. In 6 cases, graft was fixed in tibial side with a suture disc and femoral side by IFS. In 4 cases, graft was fixed on both sides with IFS. In 2 cases, suture disc was used on either side of graft (Table 3). The average tunnel enlargement regardless of fixation was 0.758 mm on the tibial side and 0.508 mm on the femoral side. Reamed diameters of tibial tunnel ranged from 7.5 to 10.5 mm and femoral tunnel ranged from 6.5 to 10.0 mm.

Table 3: Distribution of patients based on fixation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixation (Tibia-Femur)</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFS-SD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFS-IFS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD-SD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD-IFS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Distribution of patients based on surgery-CT scan duration in weeks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration (in weeks)</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minimum follow-up was 2 weeks and maximum follow-up was 6 months (Table 4).

With interference screw fixation, out of 14 tunnels, 9 tunnels had a tunnel widening of 0 to 0.5 mm and 5 tunnels had 0.6 to 1.0 mm enlargement. Average tunnel widening with IFS was 0.414 mm (Figure 1).

With suture disc fixation, (Figure 5) one patient had 0 to 0.5 mm, 3 patients had 0.6 to 1.0 mm, 3 patients had 1.1 to 1.5 mm and 3 patients had 1.5 to 2.0 mm tunnel widening. Average tunnel enlargement was 1.23 mm in this group.

The difference of tunnel enlargement between the anatomic and extra-cortical fixation groups was statistically significant (p-value<0.05) in favour of the former (Table 5). The two groups were compared by unpaired t test and the p value is less than 0.0001 (t=5.0277, df=22, std. error=0.162). So, greater tunnel widening was observed with suture disc fixation than with interference screw.
DISCUSSION

Tunnel enlargement is a consequence of impaired graft healing and graft healing depends on a secure fixation technique that will not allow graft to move within the bone tunnel during everyday activities. Thus, graft healing, fixation technique and tunnel enlargement are inter-related. Graft fixation is the weak link of the construct until histological anchoring of the graft in the bone tunnel occurs. In animal models, it appears that grafts with bone plugs (6 weeks) achieve histological incorporation earlier than soft tissue grafts (4 months). Wieler et al showed in animal studies that reducing the relative motion between tendon and wall of the tunnel promotes the ingrowth of an intervening fibrous layer and bony trabeculae. They used biodegradable IFS to reduce shear forces on the tendon within the tunnel. In a biomechanical study, Shibashi et al demonstrated that graft fixation at the aperture reduces anterior tibial translation compared with extra-articular fixation techniques. This improved stability can be attributed to an overall shortening of the fixation construct leading to reduced elastic deformation. The total length of a fixation button-graft construct is much longer than the normal ACL. This leads to longitudinal motion of the graft in the tunnel or the ‘bungee effect’. Buelow, Fauno, Iorio have all concluded that there was a significant reduction of tunnel widening using fixation points close to the joint compared to the system where the distance between fixation points is long. In our study also, there was significantly less tunnel enlargement (p-value) with the use of interference screw as compared to suture disc. We have observed tunnel enlargement in the early post-operative period (2 weeks) probably because we have used extraction drilling. A possible solution to this problem may be to drill 1 mm smaller than measured graft diameter and then enlarge to appropriate diameter with a tunnel dilator. Another possible cause for early tunnel enlargement may be the early aggressive, brace-less rehabilitation protocol that we have followed. Several studies have shown that non-aggressive rehabilitation can reduce micro-motion of the graft in the bone tunnel and thereby reducing synovial bathing effect which may result in tunnel enlargement.

Measuring bone tunnels by radiographs can underestimate the size of bone tunnels. CT scan accurately images the boundaries of the intra-osseous tunnels and can give axial cross-sections. CT scans are not influenced by factors of magnification and knee positioning. Fink et al and Harris et al reported that enlargement occurs particularly within first 6 weeks after operation and no further increase is observed 2 years after operation. We have also noted maximum tunnel enlargement during first 2 months after surgery.

To conclude, interference screw fixation shows lesser tunnel widening and better biological incorporation than suture disc fixation presumably due to reduction in relative motion between graft and tunnel wall.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>No. of tunnels</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>P value (Unpaired t test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group IFS</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001 (Statistically highly significant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group SD</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Mean tunnel widening.


