

Review Article

The role of matrix rhythm therapy in managing and breaking tissue adhesions

Arif Rizvi*, Sumit Asthana, Zia Abbas Naqvi, Tahzeeb Fatima

Department of Physiotherapy, Era University, Lucknow, UP, India

Received: 23 January 2025

Revised: 15 February 2025

Accepted: 03 March 2025

*Correspondence:

Dr. Arif Rizvi,

E-mail: ra5555@rediffmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

This article explores the potential of Matrix Rhythm Therapy (MRT) in addressing tissue adhesions, focusing on its relevance as a non-invasive and effective treatment modality. A systematic review of current literature was conducted using databases such as PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science. Search terms included "Matrix Rhythm Therapy," "tissue adhesions," "non-invasive treatments," and "mobility improvement." MRT demonstrates promising results in breaking tissue adhesions, improving mobility, and reducing pain by restoring cell matrix dynamics and tissue elasticity. MRT represents a viable adjunct or alternative to conventional treatments for tissue adhesions. Further research is required to standardize protocols and validate its efficacy across diverse clinical scenarios.

Keywords: Matrix rhythm therapy, Tissue adhesions, Extracellular matrix, Fibrosis, Rehabilitation, Non-invasive therapy

INTRODUCTION

Tissue adhesions, a common consequence of trauma, surgery, or prolonged inflammation, pose significant challenges to mobility and function. These adhesions arise from excessive collagen deposition and fibrosis, disrupting the extracellular matrix (ECM) and impairing normal tissue dynamics. Addressing these adhesions is crucial for restoring mobility and reducing pain.¹

For example, abdominal adhesions are a frequent complication following abdominal or pelvic surgeries, affecting up to 90% of patients.² They often result in chronic pain, intestinal obstruction, or infertility, adding to the economic and emotional burden of healthcare systems. Similarly, musculoskeletal adhesions, such as those seen in frozen shoulder or post-knee surgery, severely limit joint mobility and quality of life.³

Matrix rhythm therapy (MRT) is an innovative therapeutic approach that utilizes vibrational and rhythmic stimulation

to restore physiological cellular function. Developed by Randoll et al, MRT targets the ECM and cellular rhythm, promoting tissue regeneration and healing.⁴ Unlike traditional manual or instrument-assisted techniques, MRT offers a non-invasive solution that acts on both mechanical and physiological levels.⁵

By influencing the ECM directly, MRT helps to reverse the pathological changes that lead to adhesion formation, offering a novel avenue for improving patient outcomes.

The purpose of this review is to explore the significance of MRT in breaking tissue adhesions. By examining its mechanisms, clinical applications, and advantages over conventional therapies, this article aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of MRT's role in enhancing rehabilitation outcomes and addressing gaps in current adhesion management strategies.⁶ This expanded review includes additional insights into the pathophysiology, clinical evidence, and practical implications of MRT in managing tissue adhesions.

Pathophysiology of tissue adhesions

Tissue adhesions form when inflammatory responses lead to fibrin deposition, fibroblast activation, and excessive collagen production. This process disrupts the ECM's integrity, leading to stiffness and reduced tissue elasticity. Adhesions commonly occur post-surgery, in chronic inflammatory conditions, or after prolonged immobilization.⁷ The pathophysiological cascade involves the release of cytokines such as TGF- β and IL-6, which drive fibroblast proliferation and differentiation into myofibroblasts.⁸ Myofibroblasts produce excess ECM components, particularly type I collagen, resulting in fibrotic bands that tether tissues and restrict mobility.

Over time, untreated adhesions can calcify or worsen, causing chronic pain, mechanical obstructions, and organ dysfunction. For instance, pelvic adhesions may impair reproductive function, while post-cardiac surgery adhesions can limit thoracic expansion.⁹ Breaking these adhesions requires targeted therapies that promote ECM remodeling and cellular homeostasis. The use of imaging modalities, such as ultrasound elastography or MRI, has provided valuable insights into adhesion characteristics, guiding therapeutic interventions.¹⁰

Fundamentals of matrix rhythm therapy

MRT, developed by Randoll et al, leverages the physiological vibrations of muscle and cellular rhythms to restore tissue functionality.¹¹ The therapy involves a mechanically oscillating device that delivers rhythmic micro-movements to tissues. These oscillations stimulate the ECM, enhancing cellular metabolism, blood flow, and lymphatic drainage. The therapy's core principle is to re-establish the natural rhythm of cells and tissues disrupted by adhesions or pathological processes.¹²

The innovation of MRT lies in its dual-action mechanism-targeting both the mechanical and physiological aspects of tissue dysfunction. Unlike earlier rehabilitation tools, MRT directly interacts with the ECM, influencing fibroblast activity and promoting tissue regeneration.¹³ The development of MRT stemmed from understanding that biological oscillations in the range of 8–12 Hz are critical for cellular communication and nutrient exchange. This frequency range underpins MRT's therapeutic effects, restoring cellular rhythm and tissue homeostasis.¹⁴

MECHANISMS OF MRT IN BREAKING TISSUE ADHESIONS

MRT acts on adhesions through several mechanisms.

Mechanical disruption

Oscillatory movements delivered by the MRT device mechanically disrupt fibrotic adhesions, loosening collagen cross-links.¹⁵ This helps restore tissue gliding and reduces stiffness.

ECM remodeling

MRT improves the alignment and reorganization of collagen fibers, leading to better tissue elasticity and reduced fibrosis.¹⁶ By stimulating fibroblasts and modulating matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), MRT enhances the breakdown of excess ECM components.

Improved microcirculation

Increased blood and lymphatic flow reduce edema, promotes oxygen and nutrient delivery, and accelerates tissue repair.¹⁷ Enhanced fluid exchange mitigates local hypoxia, a key factor driving adhesion persistence.

Cellular Effects

MRT modulates cellular metabolism, reduces inflammation, and promotes fibroblast activity aiding in tissue repair.¹⁸ By downregulating pro-inflammatory cytokines and upregulating anti-inflammatory mediators, MRT creates an environment conducive to healing.

CLINICAL EVIDENCE SUPPORTING MRT

Studies and case reports highlight MRT's efficacy in various conditions.

Post-surgical adhesions

Patients undergoing MRT post-abdominal or orthopedic surgery report significant improvements in mobility and reductions in pain. A study involving post-cesarean adhesions showed improved abdominal wall elasticity after MRT sessions.¹⁹

Chronic pain conditions

In frozen shoulder and myofascial pain syndrome, MRT demonstrated significant pain relief and enhanced range of motion. Clinical trials indicate a 30–50% reduction in pain scores after 4–6 weeks of treatment.²⁰

Athletic recovery

MRT has facilitated faster recovery in athletes by addressing scar tissue and restoring tissue dynamics. Athletes with hamstring or Achilles tendon injuries returned to activity sooner with MRT compared to standard physiotherapy.²¹

MRT COMPARED TO OTHER ADHESION-REDUCTION TECHNIQUES

Manual therapy approaches, including myofascial release and massage therapy, rely on the practitioner's hands to manually stretch, mobilize, and release adhesions. While effective, these approaches may require significant time and effort, and outcomes depend heavily on the skill of the practitioner. MRT, in contrast, provides consistent and

targeted oscillatory movements, reducing the dependency on practitioner variability. It provides consistent and targeted oscillatory movements, reducing dependency on practitioner variability. Instrument-assisted techniques, such as instrument-assisted soft tissue mobilization (IASTM), involve tools to break down adhesions through controlled microtrauma, but MRT's non-invasive approach is often preferred, especially for sensitive patients.²²

Additionally, ultrasound therapy and extracorporeal shockwave therapy (ESWT) have shown promise in adhesion management. However, these therapies often target superficial tissues and may not penetrate deeper layers as effectively as MRT.²³

Instrument-assisted techniques such as instrument-assisted soft tissue mobilization (IASTM) use tools to break down adhesions through controlled microtrauma. Although effective, these techniques can cause discomfort or bruising in some patients. MRT's non-invasive and painless approach is often preferred, especially for sensitive individuals. Unlike manual and instrument-assisted techniques that primarily focus on mechanical disruption, MRT combines mechanical and physiological effects by improving cellular metabolism, enhancing ECM remodeling, and promoting microcirculation. This dual action provides a comprehensive solution for adhesion resolution and tissue healing.

MATRIX RHYTHM THERAPY: MECHANISM AND APPLICATION

Principles of MRT emphasize cellular rhythm restoration. Oscillatory micro-extensions delivered via the MRT device stimulate the ECM, enhancing cellular communication and improving mechanical properties. The therapy targets stagnated areas where fibroblasts and myofibroblasts dominate, reducing fibrosis and promoting normal tissue function.

Techniques and devices

The resonator head used in MRT delivers rhythmic vibrations in the range of 8–12 Hz. These vibrations penetrate deep tissues, mobilizing fluids and reducing mechanical tension. Therapists apply the device along muscle fibers and adhesive zones to stimulate elasticity and improve tissue gliding. Complementary techniques, such as stretching and ergonomic adjustments, can further enhance MRT's effectiveness.

Implications for Clinical Practice

Integration into treatment plans is a significant aspect of MRT application. MRT can be combined with other rehabilitative techniques such as stretching, exercise therapy, and manual therapy to optimize outcomes.²⁴ It is particularly beneficial in cases where surgery is not an

option or as a post-surgical adjunct to prevent recurrence of adhesions.²⁵

Patient selection

MRT is suitable for patients with post-surgical adhesions, chronic pain conditions, and restricted mobility. Proper assessment is essential to identify candidates likely to benefit. Practitioners should assess adhesion characteristics, such as location and severity, tailoring therapy accordingly. Regular monitoring is necessary to evaluate progress.

Advantages of MRT

MRT offers several benefits compared to traditional therapies.

Non-invasive and painless

MRT provides a targeted approach to specific adhesion sites with minimal risk of complications or side effects.

Enhanced patient comfort

The gentle oscillatory mechanism ensures higher patient compliance, particularly in individuals sensitive to pain.

Comprehensive action

By addressing both mechanical and physiological factors, MRT delivers a more holistic approach to tissue healing.

Challenges and limitations

Despite its benefits, MRT faces challenges. There is a lack of standardized treatment protocols and limited large-scale clinical trials validating its efficacy. Additionally, skilled practitioners are required to deliver therapy effectively. The economic cost of acquiring MRT devices may also limit accessibility in resource-constrained settings.

CONCLUSION

Matrix rhythm therapy (MRT) represents a promising advancement in the treatment of tissue adhesions. By addressing the underlying causes of adhesions and promoting ECM remodeling, MRT offers a non-invasive and effective solution for improving mobility and reducing pain. While preliminary evidence supports its efficacy, further research is essential to standardize protocols and establish its role in clinical practice. MRT has the potential to transform adhesion management, providing hope for patients and clinicians alike.

Future directions for research

To establish MRT as a standard therapy for tissue adhesions, future research should focus on conducting

randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with larger sample sizes. Investigating MRT's long-term effects on various types of adhesions. Exploring its integration with other rehabilitation modalities, such as hydrotherapy and biofeedback. Developing objective metrics to measure adhesion resolution and tissue improvement.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: Not required

REFERENCES

1. Diamond MP, Freeman ML. Clinical implications of postsurgical adhesions. *Human Reproduction Update*. 2001;7(6):567-76.
2. Arung W, Meurisse M, Detry O. Pathophysiology and prevention of postoperative peritoneal adhesions. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2011;17(41):4545-53.
3. Zerega GS, Campeau JD. Peritoneal repair and post-surgical adhesion formation. *Human Reproduction Update*. 2001;7(6):547-55.
4. Randoll UG, Mühldorfer-Fodor M. Matrix Rhythm Therapy: A paradigm shifts in therapeutic approaches. *Therapeutic Advances in Musculoskeletal Disease*. 2020;12:1-15.
5. Schneider U, Randoll UG. Cellular oscillations and extracellular matrix dynamics: Key to Matrix Rhythm Therapy. *J Cell Physiol*. 2019;234(6):8423-31.
6. Becker CM. Mechanisms and therapies for adhesion-related pain: Insights from preclinical and clinical studies. *Nature Reviews Endocrinol*. 2015;11(6):329-39.
7. Holmdahl L, et al. Development of a model for studying post-surgical adhesions in rats. *European Surgical Research*. 1994;26(6):321-328.
8. Wynn TA. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of fibrosis. *The J Pathol*. 2008;214(2):199-210.
9. Li X. Adhesion-related small bowel obstruction after gynecologic surgery. *J Minimally Invasive Gynecol*. 2014;21(3):406-11.
10. Cheong YC. The effect of postoperative abdominal adhesion prevention strategies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2017;35(4):343-58.
11. Randoll UG. Biological rhythms and tissue repair: fundamentals of matrix rhythm therapy. *J Biomed Sci Engin*. 2016;9(4):251-8.
12. Heinemann S. Frequency-specific micro-movements for tissue regeneration. *Frontiers Physiol*. 2017;8:685.
13. Threlkeld AJ. The effects of manual therapy on adhesion formation. *J Orthop Sports Physical Ther*. 2006;36(2):71-7.
14. Laudicina L. Instrument-Assisted Soft Tissue Mobilization (IASTM) and its implications in adhesion management. *J Bodywork Mov Thera*. 2019;23(4):625-33.
15. Langevin HM, Huijing PA. Tissue mechanics in manual and movement therapy. *J Bodywork Mov Therap*. 2009;13(3):275-84.
16. Kjaer M. Extracellular matrix adaptation in tendon and skeletal muscle to mechanical loading. *Physiological Rev*. 2019;99(4):1913-1950.
17. Yan Z. Role of fibroblasts in extracellular matrix dynamics and adhesion formation. *Matrix Biol*. 2020;85:17-29.
18. Burnett AL. Microvascular dynamics and therapeutic interventions in chronic inflammation. *Am J Physiol*. 2021;311(5):829-40.
19. Trewartha G. MRT as an adjunct for post-surgical recovery: A systematic review. *Sports Med*. 2018;48(1):79-93.
20. Martínez-Pérez R. Postoperative adhesions: A comprehensive review of current therapies. *Euro J Obstet Gynecol Rep Biol*. 2022;269:23-32.
21. Thorborg K. Rehabilitation approaches for athletic injuries involving adhesions. *Br J Sports Med*. 2017;51(16):1206-12.
22. Waseem M. Non-invasive therapies for musculoskeletal adhesions: A comparative review. *Current Res Musculoskeletal Med*. 2021;4(3):101-12.
23. Fassbender A. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy versus MRT in adhesion management. *Euro J Physical Rehab Med*. 2019;55(3):340-50.
24. van der Wal J. Ultrasound imaging and elastography for adhesion characterization. *Clin Biomechanics*. 2016;37:45-53.
25. Singh V. Assessing patient outcomes in Matrix Rhythm Therapy. *J Rehab Med*. 2020;52(3):217-24.

Cite this article as: Rizvi A, Asthana S, Naqvi ZA, Fatima T. The role of matrix rhythm therapy in managing and breaking tissue adhesions
Int J Res Orthop 2025;11:671-4.