International Journal of Research in Orthopaedics
Jesalpura BH et al. Int J Res Orthop. 2017 Jul;3(4):795-799
http://www.ijoro.org

Original Research Article

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/issn.2455-4510.IntJResOrthop20172875

Intra articular hyaluronic acid injection, is it a wastage of money or justified?

Bhavesh H. Jesalpura[1], Sharvil H. Gajjar[2]*

[1]Department of Orthopaedics, Dr MK Shah Medical College, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India
[2]Chirayu Orthopaedic Hospital, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

Received: 23 February 2017
Revised: 28 April 2017
Accepted: 02 May 2017

***Correspondence:**
Dr. Sharvil H. Gajjar,
E-mail: sharvilgajjar@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT

Background: Osteoarthritis of knee (OA Knee) is one of the most common form of joint disease and affects everyone in different proportion with aging. To reduce the burden on society and improve the productive life various modalities of treatment are suggested. Our goal was to find out how much Intra Articular Hyaluronic acid injection (I/A HA inj.) is effective and safe.
Methods: We have done a retrospective single blind study on 60 patients of OA Knee according to ACR Criteria, Grade I and II, who were treated with I/A HA inj. of a single brand. They were followed up up to 3 year after last injection and clinical assessment done based on VAS Scale and WOMAC Score.
Results: Out of 60 patients who have been given I/A HA injection, patients below age of 50 and ACR Grade I OA Knee, responded well with injection. However patients above age of 50 and ACR Grade II OA Knee responded poorly and require some other form of treatment as early as 1 month after stopping injection.
Conclusions: Though OA Knee is a degenerative disease which requires long term follow up to justify use of I/A HA injection, We believe that in early cases of OA Knee and Patients below age of 50 years, this treatment modality can work well and may prolong active life and delay surgical intervention and hence cost benefit ratio justifies use of this treatment modality.

Keywords: Intra articular, Hyaluronic acid, Knee osteoarthritis

INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis (OA) is an important cause of chronic pain and disability worldwide among the elderly population.[1] OA is a very common problem in the older population and affects almost 5% of people over 65 years of age.[2-4] As population ages, disease progresses, it leads to deteriorating quality of life with pain, loss of mobility and functional independence. The general increase in life expectance means increase in number of people affected with OA. It affected more than 10 million people in India and there is increase in numbers by more than 8% annually and it's a major health problem worldwide. Osteoarthritis is the second most common rheumatologic problem and it is the most frequent joint disease with a prevalence of 22% to 39% in India.[5,6] OA is more common in women than men, but the prevalence increases dramatically with age.[5,7,8] Nearly, 45% of women over the age of 65 years have symptoms while radiological evidence is found in 70% of those over 65 years.[7-9] OA of the knee is a major cause of mobility impairment, particularly among females.[7,8] OA was

estimated to be the 10th leading cause of nonfatal burden.[7]

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a chronic degenerative disorder of multifactorial etiology characterized by the loss of articular cartilage, hypertrophy of bone at the margins, subchondral sclerosis, and range of biochemical and morphological alterations of the synovial membrane and joint capsule.[5] NSAIDS and glucocorticoids are the most common form of treatment in early stages and surgical intervention in end stage OA. However other modalities of treatment which aim at identifying causes of OA and treated the root cause are desirable.

Intra-articular injection of hyaluronic acid (HA) to treat OA has been used worldwide for pain relief and symptomatic treatment.[10,11] The two types of HA currently available are low molecular weight (LMW) HA (molecular weight 0.5–3.6 million Da) and high molecular weight (HMW) chemically cross-linked HA (cHA, molecular weight 6.0 million Da).[12]

Hyaluronic acid is a very long polysaccharide chain, consisting of repeating disaccharide units of N-acetyl-glucosamine and glucuronic acid. The average molecular weight of synovial fluid hyaluronic acid is 5 to 7 × 106 d, or 12,500 disaccharide units.[13] Endogenous hyaluronic acid is synthesized by type B synoviocytes andfibroblasts in the synovium and released into the joint space. Hyaluronic acid is a major component of synovial fluid and articular cartilage, and is an important contributor to joint homeostasis.[13] The viscoelasticity and rheology of synovial fluid is due entirely to its hyaluronic acid content. Hyaluronic acid contributes to the viscous and elastic properties, affording the synovial fluid the peculiar capacity to function differently under distinct loading conditions.[14]

The normal adult knee contains approximately 2 mL of synovial fluid, with a hyaluronic acid concentration of 2.5 to 4.0 mg/mL.[15] In patients with osteoarthritis, the concentration and molecular weight of hyaluronic acid in synovial fluid is reduced by a factor of 2 or 3, owing to both degradation and dilution. Furthermore, the molecular weight of the hyaluronate that is present is reducedto as low as 2 × 105 d.[13] These consequences lead to dramatic changes in the viscoelastic properties of the synovial fluid, and, thus, altered joint mechanics. Decreased lubrication leads to increased stress upon the already diseased cartilage, further disrupting the collagen network and the integrity of the chondralsurface.[15]

Intra Articular HA injection weekly for 3 to 5 weeks has been shown to increase joint performance in short and medium term in various studies owing to viscoelastic properties.

METHODS

To evaluate the safety and efficacy of HA in proposed dosage, we carried out a retrospective single blind randomized study, consisting of two groups. The study was carried out at Harikrishna hospital, Dholka, Ahmedabad. The study was carried out from November 2012 to November 2013 and patients were followed up to a maximum duration of 3 years. One group given intra-articular placebo and second group given intra-articular HA injection. All other treatment modalities were same including supportive analgesics, physiotherapy and life style modification.

The following were the inclusion and exclusion criteria:

Inclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria were all patients between the age of 30 to 75 years, radiographic evidence of the osteoarthritis of knee joint, chronic pain for atleast 3 months prior to entry in the study, not satisfied with previous non operative management.

Exclusion criteria

Exclusion criteria were osteoarthriris >stage 3, any intra-articular injection in the previous 3 months, allergy or hypersensitivity to any of the study medication, history of any crystalline arthropathy, inflammatory arthritis or neuropathic arthropathy.

Total 5 doses of I/A HA injecion were given at weekly intervals and patients were called for follow up at 3 month, 6 month, 1 year and 2 years. Evaluation was based on VAS Scale and WOMAC Score.[16,17] End result was also assessed clinically by independent blind examiner.

Although an intra-articular injection of the knee is not a complex procedure, assessment of whether the tip of the needle lies free in the joint or is embedded in synovium or soft tissue before administration of the preparation of medication could be difficult. This finding emphasizes the importance of proper needle placement. Needle placement is easily confirmed when an effusion is present. The return of synovial fluid documents intra-articular placement of the needle. In the absence of an effusion, needle placement requires the use of anatomic landmarks and tactile feed-back to help the operator in positioning of the needle. Prior to performance of an injection, all landmarks for entry into the joints were outlined with a marking pen. After palpating the lateral and medial borders of the patella, the needle was advanced transversely between the articular surfaces of the patellofemoral joint at the midpoint of the patella.

After the completion of data collection, data entry and analysis was done by Excel 3.0.

RESULTS

Out of 60 patients enrolled, 39 were female and 21 were male patients. Average of female patients was 54 yrs (range from 40-75), and male patients was 59 yrs (range

from 41-75). 31 patients were grade I OA knee, 19 patients were grade II OA Knee and 10 patients were grade III OA Knee as per ACRCriteria.[18]

Table 1: Study epidemiology.

Male	21
Female	39
Total	60

Table 2: ACR grading.

ACR grade	Number of patients
1	31
2	19
3	10

There is statistically significant difference in VAS Scale and WOMAC Score in Grade I OA Knee patients irrespective of Age and Sex whereas Grade II patients responded with moderate improvement. In patients with grade III OA Knee, or patients more than 50 years of age, the response was poor and in fact at 6 month follow up most of the patients was dissatisfied with treatment and asked for change of modality of treatment.

Table 3: Clinical improvement on follow up.

	VAS Scale	WOMAC Score
Grade I	Significant improvement	Significant improvement
Grade II	moderate	Moderate
Grade III	poor	Poor

In grade I OA Knee patients the improvements were consistent in most of the patients up to 2 years follow up with proper modification of lifestyle, physiotherapy and education. Some patients of OA Knee worsened over 2 year follow up. In few patients' post injection joint swelling and discomfort was seen for few days; however no infection or any other complication encountered.

Average cost of therapy was 9000/- patient and patient were happy with cost benefit ratio, considering activity they were able to do post injection and improvement in their knee function.

DISCUSSION

As we have stated our main objective elsewhere, we believe OA Knee is a age related degenerative disorder likely to occur in most of human with advancing age and most of the people want to avoid surgery as far as possible considering restriction of life style and cost involved in surgery and post-surgical care. Current pharmacological, non-pharmacological, and surgical treatments for OA can alleviate some symptoms, but do not attenuate disease progression. Drugs, such as glucocorticoids and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) have been widely used for the treatment of OA; however, none of the current drugs can prevent cartilage degeneration or completely cure this intractable disease. Furthermore, many of the drugs are not ideal for long-term treatment because of some adverse effects In addition, delaying a primary surgery means to prevent a second surgery in form of revision surgery which involves huge cost and results are inferior as compare to primary replacement surgery with increased complications.

In the previous trial of Bellamy comparing IA HA injections with IA corticosteroids, there were no significant differences 4 week after injection but IA HA was more effective 5-13 week post injection.[19,20] A meta-analysis of seven randomized control trials done by Bannuru also showed that intra articular injection of hyaluronic acid is better than intra articular corticosteroids in patients of osteoarthriris knee.[21] In the first two weeks, corticosteroids were more effective in relieving pain, but at week 4, both were equally effective, and from week 8, HA was more effective to last assessment at 26th week. Analyses of the results for other outcomes such as reduction in stiffness and improvement in function following IA HA were similar.

As intra articular HA is only outdoor procedure and not associated with significant complication, patient compliance was very good. Patients with early osteoarthritis are likely to benefit most as in them wear and tear or cartilage has just started and hence activity modification and intra-articular HA likely to have most beneficial effect on them.

HA is a primary component in synovial fluid and articular cartilage matrix. The maintenance of normal joint function is tightly correlated with a normal concentration of HA in the synovial fluid.[22] Intra-articular injection of HA can cover the articular surfaces and improve nutrient transport in cartilage, acting as a cushion that absorbs pressure and friction, thus protecting it from further damage. The adherence of HA to knee articular cartilage surfaces has been reported to protect nerve endings that may be exposed by cartilage degradation.[23,24] Intra-articular treatment with HA for OA knee pain is widely accepted in clinical practice.[13] This method can supply sufficient HA to delay the development of knee OA.[15]

CONCLUSION

When treating a patient with osteoarthritis, it is best to start with non-interventional approaches, such as physiotherapy, ice, and analgesics. If conservative treatment fails, injectable agents may help. Hyaluronic acid injections provide longer-lasting pain relief than corticosteroids for patients with osteoarthritis; however, they are much more expensive. Different modalities of intra articular injections are being investigated like growth hormone, platelet rich plasma and autologous

blood transfusion but these are still under research and documented results are still not conclusive.

However there are limitations of our study. Ours is a short term study and as OA is an age related degenerative disorder, we need to follow up these patients for longer term. Long term follow up of at least 5 years on larger population is required however to use I/A HA Injection on mass scale basis which can further reduce its cost and improve upon cost benefit ratio. It needs to be quantified that over longer term follow up how many persons have been able to avoid surgery or delay surgery. As advanced OA knee patients were not having desired results for them option is only surgery and hence no true cost benefit ratio for them, who are actually in need of surgery and hence I/A HA injection can't be called as substitute for any other surgical intervention.

However over longer term if some screening test is developed for OA Knee and population at high risk can be treated with this modality in early stage OA and can be beneficial over longer term.

Funding: No funding sources
Conflict of interest: None declared
Ethical approval: The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee

REFERENCES

1. Emery CA, Roos EM, Verhagen E, Finch CF, Bennell KL, Story B, et al. Oarsi clinical trials recommendations: Design and conduct of clinical trials for primary prevention of osteoarthritis by joint injury prevention in sport and recreation. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2015;23:815–25.
2. Lawrence RC, Helmick CG, Arnett FC, Deyo RA, Felson DT, Giannini EH, et al. Estimates of the prevalence of arthritis and selected musculoskeletal disorders in the United States. Arthritis Rheum. 1998;41:778-99.
3. Woolf AD, Pfleger B. Burden of major musculoskeletal conditions. Bull World Health Organ. 2003;81:646-56.
4. Lawrence JS, Brenner JM, Bier F. Osteoarthrosis: Prevalence in thepopulation and relationship between symptoms and x-ray changes. Ann Rheum Dis. 1966;25:1-24.
5. Silman AJ, Hochberg MC. Epidemiology of the Rheumatic Diseases. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2001.
6. Symmons D, Mathers C, Pfleger B. Global Burden of Osteoarthritis in year 2000: Global burden of disease 2000 study, World health report. 2002(5);1-57.
7. Akinpelu AO, Alonge TO, Adekanla BA, Odole AC. Prevalence and pattern of symptomatic knee osteoarthritis in Nigeria: A community-based study. Internet J Allied Health Sci Pract. 2009;7:3.
8. Davis MA, Ettinger WH, Neuhaus JM, Hauck WW. Sex differences in osteoarthritis of the knee. The role of obesity. Am J Epidemiol. 1988;127:1019-30.
9. Solomon L, Beighton P, Lawrence JS. Rheumatic disorders in the South African Negro. Patrt II. Osteo-arthrosis. S Afr Med J. 1975;49:1737-40
10. Takahashi K, Goomer RS, Harwood F, Kubo T, Hirasawa Y, Amiel D. The effects of hyaluronanon matrix metalloproteinase-3 (MMP-3), interleukin-1(IL-1), and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1(TIMP-1) gene expression during the development of osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 1999;7:182–90.
11. Brzusek D, Petron D. Treating knee osteoarthritis with intra-articular hyaluronans. Curr. Med. Res. Opin.2008, 24, 3307–3322.
12. Arnold W, Fullerton DS, Holder S, May CS. Viscosupplementation: Managed care issues for osteoarthritis of the knee. J Manag Care Pharm. 2007;13:S3–19.
13. Balazs EA, Denlinger JL. Viscosupplementation: a new concept in the treatment of osteoarthritis. J Rhematol Suppl. 2003;39:380-403.
14. Simon LS. Viscosupplementation therapy with intra-articular hyaluronic acid. Fact or fantasy? Rhem Dis Clin North Am. 1999;25(2):345-58.
15. Marshall KW. Intra-articular hyaluronan therapy. Curr Opin Rheumatol. 2000;12(5):468-74.
16. McCormack HM, Horne DJ, Sheather S. Clinical applications of visualanalogue scales: a critical review. Psychol Med. 1988;18:1007–19.
17. Woolacott NF, Corbett MS, Rice SJ. The use and reporting of WOMAC in the assessment of the benefit of physical therapies for the pain of osteoarthritis of the knee: findings from a systematic review of clinical trials. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2012;51(8):1440-6.
18. Altman R, Alarcón G, Appelrouth D, Bloch D, Borenstein D, Brandt K, et al. The American College of Rheumatology criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis of the knee. Arthritis Rheum. 1986;29:1039-49.
19. Bellamy N, Campbell J, Robinson V, Gee T, Bourne R, Wells G. Intra-articular corticosteroid for treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006;(2):CD005328.
20. Bellamy N, Campbell J, Robinson V, Gee T, Bourne R, Wells G. Viscosupplementation for the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006;(2):CD005321.
21. Bannuru RR, Natov NS, Obadan IE, Price LL, Schmid CH, McAlindon TE. Therapeutic trajectory of hyaluronic acid versus corticosteroids in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arthritis Rheum. 2009;61:1704–11.
22. Wang CT, Lin YT, Chiang BL, Lin YH, Hou SM. High molecular weight hyaluronic aciddown-regulates the gene expression of osteoarthritis-associated cytokines and enzymes in fibroblast-

likesynoviocytes from patients with early osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2006;14:1237–47.
23. Gomis A, Miralles A, Schmidt RF, Belmonte C. Nociceptive nerve activity in an experimental model ofknee joint osteoarthritis of the guinea pig: Effect of intra-articular hyaluronan application. Pain. 2007;130:126–36.
24. Pozo MA, Balazs EA, Belmonte C. Reduction of sensory responses to passive movements of inflamedvknee joints by hylan, a hyaluronan derivative. Exp Brain Res. 1997;116:3–9.

Cite this article as: Jesalpura BH, Gajjar SH. Intra articular hyaluronic acid injection, is it a wastage of money or justified? Int J Res Orthop 2017;3:795-9.