Salvage of infected proximal tibial tumour prosthesis using vacuum assisted closure in seven patients
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ABSTRACT

Background: Proximal tibia is the second most common site for both benign and malignant bone tumours. The treatment protocols of such tumours have seen a paradigm shift from amputation towards limb salvage. Limb salvage has been possible with resection and reconstruction using custom modular prosthesis but the risk of tumour recurrence and infection still looms large.

Methods: Here we present our series of seven patients with infected proximal tibial tumour prosthesis which were salvaged using vacuum assisted closure (VAC) dressing. The indications for surgery were recurrent giant cell tumour (4 cases), chondrosarcoma (2 cases) and osteosarcoma (one patient). The prosthesis used was the limb preservation system (LPS) [Depuy-Synthes, US]. There were 6 males (M:F 6:1) with average age of 34.23 years. The predominant organism cultured was Staph aureus. We proceeded with radical wound debridement and VAC dressing with continuous negative pressure drainage for an average of 18 days (range 12-24 days). The patients then underwent secondary suturing and delayed suture removal (18-21 days).

Results: All 7 patients were well at 8 months follow-up with no recurrent or persistent infection with good functional outcome. The average knee society score (KSS) was 73 (good).

Conclusions: We conclude that with early thorough radical debridement and with select bacteriological culture profile, VAC is a valuable tool in the salvage of infected tumour prosthesis. This may reduce the need for amputations of such infected limbs by a significant margin while still retaining good functional outcome.
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INTRODUCTION

The incidence of bone tumours is increasing in Indian population. This is in effect due to more public awareness and screening camps being conducted. The proximal tibia is the second most common site of both benign and malignant bone tumours (second to distal femur). The commonest benign tumour in proximal tibia is giant cell tumour and commonest malignant tumour is osteosarcoma. The treatment of such tumours has changed drastically from limb ablation (above knee amputation) to limb salvage (wide resection and endo-prosthetic reconstruction using custom modular prosthesis-neo-adjuvant chemotherapy). Limb salvage has significantly reduced the morbidity and mortality associated with such diseases and alleviates to a certain extent, the social stigma often associated with amputations. The design and metallurgy of these prosthesis is also improving providing better bone ingrowth with native bone, better long term survival rates, options for re-attachment of the patellar tendon and providing a constrained design thus re-creating a near normal biomechanics for the knee joint and ensuring stability.
Although the cure rates have dramatically increased with multidisciplinary team approach and good margin clearance during surgery, the resection of extensive bone and soft tissues also compromises the vascularity of the field resulting in flap necrosis with infection.\textsuperscript{1,2,5} The limb survival rates decline progressively with each failed debridement procedure and the inevitable end result is an amputation at appropriate level.\textsuperscript{4,5} This results in severe financial and mental stress to the patients. The use of vacuum assisted closure (VAC) dressing has been practised in treating open wounds, pressure sores and nonhealing ulcers.\textsuperscript{1,19,20} It creates an anaerobic medium within the field to prevent the multiplication of bacterial colonies in the wound and thereby killing the bacteria.

**METHODS**

We present our series of seven patients between June 2012 to November 2015 at a tertiary referral centre with secondary infection following wide resection and reconstruction using custom prosthesis of the proximal tibia. There were 6 males and one female. The average age was 34.23 years (range 26-39 years). The most common indications for resection and reconstruction with tumour prosthesis were recurrent giant cell tumour (4 cases), chondrosarcoma (2 cases) and osteosarcoma (one patient). One patient with chondrosarcoma underwent surgery with curettage and bone cementation supplemented with plate and screws as given in Figure 1 for a diagnosis of giant cell tumour which later was shown to be a primary chondrosarcoma (since both often show similar pathologic findings on closed biopsy). All other patients underwent primary wide resection and reconstruction using custom prosthesis. We used the Depuy LPS™ \textsuperscript{[Depuy-Synthes]} proximal tibia custom modular prosthesis. The surgery was performed by a team of orthopaedic surgeon, surgical oncologist and anaesthetist and medical oncologist opinion was sought in all of them regarding neo-adjuvant chemotherapy. All seven patients presented with delayed wound infection at an average of 10.6 weeks (8-16 weeks). Wound culture was done in all of them with a bacteriological profile of *Staphylococcus aureus* in 4 patients, *Streptococcus pyogenes* in 2 and *Staph epidermidis* in one patient. The sensitivity pattern and dosage (MIC –minimal inhibitory concentration) was also calculated and appropriate antibiotics were started for 6 weeks (4 weeks I.V. and 2 weeks oral). All patients underwent radical wound debridement as shown in Figure 2, thorough wound lavage with 10 litres normal saline and VAC dressing application as given in Figure 3. Culture and sensitivity from the collected fluid in the drain was done every 4 days when the container was changed. Two serial negative cultures and a drain of less than 50 ml per day were taken as a threshold for secondary suturing. The secondary suturing as in Figure 4 was done with the assistance of a plastic surgeon and standard post-operative wound care was followed. All patients had a long leg knee brace for 4 weeks. However suture removal was delayed till 18-21 days. Antibiotics were continued for a period of 6 weeks.
RESULTS

At a minimum follow-up of 8 months, all 7 patients were well with no infection. However due to debridement, a part of the patellar tendon was removed in all of them thus limiting knee extension. They had an extensor lag of 20 degrees. After 4 weeks, patients were made to mobilise with a hinged knee brace allowing 20-70 degrees knee flexion. The patients were assessed using knee society score (KSS) and they had an average score of 73 (good result). Better scores would have been anticipated with a better knee extension. There was no evidence of implant loosening, instability or tumour recurrence. All patients were satisfied with the result considering the other possible outcome would have been amputation and were comfortable using a hinged knee brace for an extended period of time.

Table 1: Patient demographic data with functional outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No/Sex</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Bacteriological profile</th>
<th>Knee flexion at one year</th>
<th>Knee score at one year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Male</td>
<td>Recurrent GCT</td>
<td>Staph. aureus</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Male</td>
<td>Recurrent Chondrosarcoma</td>
<td>Staph. epidemidis</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Female</td>
<td>Recurrent GCT</td>
<td>Staph. epidemidis</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Male</td>
<td>Recurrent GCT</td>
<td>Staph. epidemidis</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Male</td>
<td>Osteosarcoma</td>
<td>Staph. aureus</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Male</td>
<td>Recurrent GCT</td>
<td>Staph. epidemidis</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Male</td>
<td>Chondrosarcoma</td>
<td>Staph.</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The results of our study on the use of VAC dressing are promising due to the fact that all our patients presented early with clinical symptoms of fever and localised warmth. Also our bacteriological profile showed that with aerobic bacteria, VAC is advantageous because the anaerobic environment created by VAC sounds the deathknell to these bacteria and reduces the bacterial load for the antibiotics to work thereafter. More importantly, due to the continuous negative pressure in the surgical
field, the formation of biofilm is significantly prevented allowing better penetrance of the antibiotics.

Wafa et al showed that silver coated prosthesis were more resistant to infections than non-silver coated designs although 30% of silver coated implants still had persistent periprosthetic infection.6 We used titanium implants in our study and though we did not use silver coated implants, we still had a 100% success with our VAC method.

Rocco et al showed the use of VAC in closure of complex chest wall defects following resection of tumours in 32 high risk cases with extensive defects.7 The mean time to closure of defects was 14 months due to complexity of structures involved. The situation is however much simpler in proximal tibia with skin cover being the only challenge.

Jeys, Hardes, and Ji et al showed that two stage revisions fared better with eradicating infections in tumour prosthesis.8,9,15 Jeys also pointed out that only 10% of infections occur around the 12 month period with majority occurring in the first few months after surgery. Flint and Grimer also showed two stage revisions to be a better treatment protocol with good infection control and salvage of the tumour prosthesis.5,21

Pala, in his extensive review on complications showed that Type 4 failure (Infection) occurred in 9.3% of cases.10 Surprisingly the survivorship for infection in primary surgeries were 84% at 5 year versus 95% in revision surgeries. Marvogenis et al, showed similar survival rates of 88% at 10 years after two stage revisions.11 Angelini, showed knee arthrodesis to be a viable salvage procedure for infected tumour prosthesis. However the success rates for the arthrodesis was only 50% at 5 years.13

The bacteriological profile in other series is similar to our series with the most common organisms seen being Staphylococcus spp., Staph. epidermidis being more common than Staph. aureus in our study.5,11,12

Fukagawa et al tried high dose antibiotic infusion in salvage of knee implants with good success rates for total knee arthroplasty (100% ) but infected tumour prosthesis fared poorly (20%).18 We however performed radical debridement, wound lavage , antibiotics for 6 weeks and VAC in all our patients with excellent results (100% infection free) at 8 months.

CONCLUSION

Infections in orthopaedic surgeries remains a nightmare for every orthopaedic surgeon due to presence of expensive metallic prosthesis and implants. These metallic implants allow biofilm formation with poor access to antibiotics. Persistent infections may result in either removal of the implants or in certain resistant situations, removal of the entire limb as a life saving measure. The role of VAC has been established in treating open wounds, non-healing ulcers and closure of complex soft tissue defects. We have now extended the use of VAC to treat a certain group of infected tumour prosthesis with excellent results. However early detection of infection, prompt decision making, radical debridement and correct use of VAC are all keystones to reproduce excellent results.
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