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Iliac vein injury after anterior transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion cage migration
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ABSTRACT

Transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF) is an effective treatment for many spine conditions. Anterior cage migration is one of the known complications and major vessels injury after that is a rare but potentially disastrous complication. We present a case of a 65-year-old female patient who underwent a TLIF for the treatment of an instable low grade spondylolisthesis. During the procedure, anterior dislodgment of the cage was verified and it was not possible to retrieve it by this approach. Has no bleeding or change in hemodynamical status was verified, it was decided not to proceed to removal at that moment. A CT-scan was performed and showed the position of the cage migrated through the posterior wall of the confluence of the left internal and external iliac veins. A new surgery was performed by an anterior approach to remove the cage and repair the vessel injury, by a vascular surgeon. In this case, cage migration occurred mostly because of implant malfunction. Surgeons must be aware that anterior cage migration can cause vascular injuries, and removal of this implants is effective by an anterior approach but a vascular surgeon must be part of the team.
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INTRODUCTION

The procedure of transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF) has become increasingly popular for the treatment of degenerative disc disease, spondylolisthesis and lumbar stenosis with instability. Despite being a safe and effective procedure, complications with cage migration are described.

Most perioperative cage misplacement are posterior or due to cage subsidence. Anterior cage dislodgement can cause serious problems and although major vessel injury after spine surgery is an infrequent complication, it is associated with a high mortality rate. We present a case of an anterior cage dislodgement with consequent iliac vein rupture.

CASE REPORT

A 65-year-old, obese, female patient presented with long-term low back pain radiating to left leg, and resistant to conservative treatment. Complementary diagnostic tests revealed a severe discopathy and grade II ischmic spondylolisthesis. The patient was proposed for surgical treatment – L5S1 decompression and TLIF. The entire preoperative study was carried out, and the patient was sent to nutritional consultation for perioperative weight loss.

The surgical procedure started with a posterior decompression with left facetectomy and pedicle screw insertion. The left L5S1 disc was prepared via transforaminal approach and an 8 mm rectangular-shaped PEEK cage filled with autologous bone was inserted.
During the introduction the cage, on impaction, it disconnected from the holder and migrated anteriorly, and it was not possible to retrieve it. No bleeding was observed and the patient remained hemodynamically stable. It was decided not to perform an anterior approach for cage retrieval at that time. The hemoglobin levels, arterial blood pressure and pulse were monitored closely during the postoperative period and were all stable.

The patient was kept in absolute bedrest and an X-ray (Figure 1) and CT-scan (Figure 2) were performed. Both images studies confirmed an anterior cage migration near the great vessels. There was no hematoma visible in the vicinity of the cage. It was then decided to reoperate by an anterior approach to assess any injuries and extract the cage.

This surgery took place one week later, through an anterior retroperitoneal approach, with the help of a vascular surgeon. It was found that the cage had migrated through the posterior wall of the confluence of the left internal and external iliac veins. The cage was successfully extracted and the vein was immediately repaired with direct suture by the vascular surgeon. There was a decrease in the hemoglobin level, from 13.4 to 9.3 g/dL, but no need for blood transfusion.

In the immediate postoperative period, the patient was kept in absolute rest for 3 days and its hemodynamic status and hemoglobin were monitored.

One week later, the patient underwent a third surgery, with the implantation of another TLIF PEEK cage of 10mm height, with no complications (Figure 3).

The patient had a good clinical evolution, maintaining only slight hypoesthesia in the left leg, with no neurological deficits, and was discharged 4 days after the last procedure.

**DISCUSSION**

TLIF has become a common surgical method of spine fusion and is an effective treatment for patients who have unstable low grade istmic spondylolisthesis, unresponsive to nonsurgical treatment.6 Very severe complications may be seen in spinal surgery due to the proximity of the spinal cord and vascular structures to the operative field.6 Major vessel injury after spine surgery is an infrequent but disastrous complication, with the literature suggesting less than 0.01% incidence.7 Cage migration almost always occurs in a posterior direction.5 Migration to the retroperitoneal region is a rare condition in the literature, and almost all the case reports are about posterior lumbar interbody fusion cages, with a small number of cases reporting TLIF cages dislodgement.1,2,6,8

Some risk factors for cage migration were identified: advanced age, low bone mineral, small cages, oversized cages, rectangular-shaped cages, excessive curettage with tearing of the anterior longitudinal ligament (ALL) and strong impactation.1,2,6,8,9 Technical errors by inexperienced spine surgeons were one of the main causes found for this complication. The anterior longitudinal ligament attaches firmly to the anterior surface of the vertebral and is not as strong on the lateral side.2 In our case, in a retrospective analysis, we found some technical errors and implant-related problems - the choice of a small
cage, with minor radiolucent markers, strong impaction and its inadvertent disconnection from the holder.

There is no wide consensus about the ideal time for surgical exploration of the abdominal cavity whenever there is a cage migration. Ignacio et al recommended early exploration and removal of the cages and damage repair (if present), as late abdominal exploration could lead to more severe outcomes in case of antepulsion of cages.\textsuperscript{8,10} Other authors have claimed that early cage removal was not necessary unless an intraabdominal organ or vascular injury occurred.\textsuperscript{8,11}

In the presented case, during the first surgery there was no vascular surgeon on call. Given that the patient presented with no hemorrhage during the surgery and was hemodynamically stable, a decision was made not to proceed immediately to cage removal. Once a post-operative CT was obtained, and the position of the cage was confirmed, a revision surgery through an anterior approach was immediately scheduled to be performed with the help of a vascular surgeon.

**CONCLUSION**

In this case, anterior migration of the TLIF cage occurred mainly because of an implant malfunction. Surgery via an anterior approach is an effective way for safe removal of anteriorly migrated implants, but surgeons must be prepared to address vascular injuries and it is advisable that a vascular surgeon be part of the operating team.
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