Best technique for fixation of displaced transverse olecranon fractures: tension band wiring or plate fixation?
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ABSTRACT

Background: Fractures of olecranon are common fractures in upper limb. Tension band wiring (TBW) and plate fixation (PF) are mostly used techniques but choice is based on type of fracture and surgeon’s preference.

Methods: A study assessed functional results in 28 patients that were enrolled after the clinical event of trauma has occurred. Patients were divided into two groups- Group (A) for TBW and Group (B) for PF; here gender, age and side of fracture were ignored. Post-operative functional outcome were evaluated by using the Mayo Elbow Performance (MEP) and the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) score parameters.

Results: Mean (SD) union time as determined by postoperative radiographs was 8.5 (1.48) weeks for group (A) and 9 (2.08) weeks for group (B). Mean (SD) MEP score at 9 months in group (A) 84.28 (7.28) and 80.71 (10.92) in group (B). Mean (SD) DASH at 9 months in group (A) 12.2 (8.8) and 11.7 (10.4) in group (B). Complications were reported in group (A) 6 patient (42.85%) out of 14 patients and in group (B) 1 patient (7.14%) out of 14 patients.

Conclusions: The current study shows that there are no significant differences in functional outcome between both the study groups. Due to lesser complications, we recommend the plate fixation approach as the better choice for transverse displaced olecranon fractures. More large scale studies are required to further confirm our results.
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INTRODUCTION

Isolated olecranon fractures are 10% of upper limb fractures in adults. Proximal ulna fractures can classified through various types of classifications. Mayo classification (Figure 1) is most preferred for olecranon fractures, as this classification not only describes fracture anatomy, morphology but also fracture stability, therefore it have important role for decision making during fracture fixation. Conservative management is reserved only for elderly patients. Most of the olecranon fractures involve articular surface, except triceps avulsion fractures. Therefore, anatomical reduction is required to prevent the arthritic changes in the elbow joint. According to many surgeons “Gold standard fixation method” for transverse intra-articular olecranon fracture is TBW, but PF is best for Monteggia, comminuted, oblique olecranon fractures, and fractures with dislocation. Still a controversy exists between TBW and PF that which is the best fixation method for olecranon fracture.
Figure 1: Mayo classification: type I undisplaced; type II displaced/stable; type III displaced/unstable; (a) simple, (b) comminuted.

METHODS

A study used existing data that have been recorded between July 2017 and October 2018 inclusive, 28 patients were matched for this study with following inclusion criteria’s: closed transverse displaced olecranon fracture (Mayo type IIa and type IIIa) (figure 2), age at least 18 years or older and follow up at least 9 months. Patients were not included for this study if they had undisplaced olecranon fractures, comminuted olecranon fractures, pathological fractures and patients with poly-trauma. Patient distribution in our study was divided into two groups, group (A) where 14 patients were treated with TBW and group (B) in which 14 patients were treated by PF; here gender, age and side of fracture were ignored.

Figure 2: Transverse displaced olecranon fracture.

All operations were performed with the patients under axillary block (regional anaesthesia) with sedation and in lateral decubitus position. Both the procedures were done under tourniquet compression to reduce blood loss and the fracture was exposed through a posterior midline incision. Reduction was performed under fluoroscopic imaging using K-wires or bone clamps.

In TBW two K-wires (parallel 1.8 or 2.0 mm K-wires) were passed from the tip of olecranon along the long axis of the ulna to the distal fragment, and passed across the anterior cortex of the ulna, then a transverse ulnar hole was drilled 3cm distal to fracture site, a tension band wire passed through this drilled hole then formed figure of eight manner loop over the posterior surface of olecranon and tied (Figure 3). In PF a large midline incision were taken on the posterior surface of the proximal ulna for proper positioning of the plate (standard, long proximal, 8-hole or 12-hole) then fracture reduction was fixed with plate and screws (Figure 4).

The postoperative protocol included antibiotics administration for 48-72 hours; passive and active-assisted range of motion initiation at second post-operative day in group (A) patients and in group (B) at second week from the postoperative day. Routinely Follow-up were performed at 1 month, 2 months, 3 months, 6 months, 9 months, 12 months and thereafter at 1 year interval. At each follow clinical (MEP score and DASH) and radiological outcomes were evaluated. Average follow up was 9 months.

The functional outcome of this study was assessed by the following self-administered evaluation scales: the MEP Score and the DASH.

Data of this study was analysed with Wilcoxon rank test. If p<0.05 was counted as statistically significant (S) and p>0.05 was counted as statistically not significant (NS).

RESULTS

From the total of 28 patients who were included in the study, there were 15 males and 13 females with a mean (SD) age of 47.07 (11.03) years (range from 29 to 69 years). The frequency of fractures was higher in males till 50 years of age but altered in older decades towards females; the mean (SD) age of males was 39.53 (6.49) years and for females mean (SD) age was 55.76 (8.49) years.

Regarding the mechanism of injury, pattern was low energy trauma in 16 patients due to slip or simple fall onto the arm and high energy trauma in 12 patients (10 patients were road traffic accidents and 2 patients had fall from height).

Mean (SD) operative time periods for group (A) was 65.17 (5.93) minutes (range 60 to 75) and for group (B) 66.78 (5.85) minutes (range 60 to 80). Mean day of mobilization post-operatively was 2nd day for group (A).
and 14th day for group (B). Mean (SD) union time as
determined by postoperative radiographs was 8.5 (1.48)
weeks (range 7-12) for group (A) and 9 (2.08) weeks
(range 7-14) for group (B).

Mean (SD) Mayo Elbow Performance (MEP) score at 9
months in group (A) 84.28 (7.28) (range 70-95) and
80.71 (10.92) (range 60-95) in group (B). Therefore
according to MEP 100 points scale, both groups having
mean MEP scores in between range 75 to 89, suggestive
that both groups have ‘Good category’ functional
outcomes. Mean (SD) DASH at 9 months in group (A)
was 12.2 (8.8) and 11.7 (10.4) in group (B) (Table 1).

Table 1. Results of two comparative groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Group (A)</th>
<th>Group (B)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operative time(min)</td>
<td>65.17 (5.93)</td>
<td>66.78 (5.85)</td>
<td>0.4760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>union time(weeks)</td>
<td>8.5 (1.48)</td>
<td>9 (2.08)</td>
<td>0.4702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEP Score</td>
<td>84.28 (7.28)</td>
<td>80.71 (10.92)</td>
<td>0.3182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DASH Score</td>
<td>12.2 (8.8)</td>
<td>11.7 (10.4)</td>
<td>0.8918</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: Graphic representation of the mean age of
the male and female patients respectively.

The complications observed in group (A)- implant
impingement in three patients and implant back out in
three patients at 3 month follow-up, so implant removal
was done for these six patients and above elbow slab was
applied for 15 days, following which range of motion
exercises were started; complications in group (B) were
superficial infection in one patient at day 3 post-
operatively for which antibiotic duration was extended
for 7 days, when the infection settled the range of motion
exercises were started. Overall complications that were
noted in group (A) were 6 patients (42.85%) out of 14
and in group (B) 1 patient (7.14%) out of 14. Hardware
removal was more frequently performed in group (A): six
patients versus zero patients for group (B). However,
these differences didn’t result in a significantly different
outcome. Non-union and delayed union were not
observed in both groups.

DISCUSSION

In this study, highest prevalence of olecranon fracture
was reported in males less than 40 years and in females
older age was observed. Similarly, Rommens et al reported that highest prevalence of olecranon fractures in
males before 40 years of age and in females at an older
age. Mechanism of injury in 16 patients out of 28
(57.14%) was low energy trauma (slip or simple fall) and
remaining had high energy trauma (road traffic accidents
or fall from height). Similarly, Wolfgang et areported
that 25 patients out of 45 (55.55%) had fracture due to
low energy trauma and similarly, Liu et al reported
that 39 patients out of 62 (62.90%) had fractures due to
low energy trauma and remaining due to high energy trauma.

The comparative results of this study shows that there
were no significant differences (p>0.05) in the operative
time periods (p value: 0.4760), union time (p value:
0.4702), MEP score (p value: 0.3182), DASH (p value:
0.8918); therefore, both groups have similar efficacy.
Similarly, Tarallo et al reported that 25 patients out of 45 (55.55%)
fractures had fracture due to
low energy trauma and similarly, Liu et al reported
that 39 patients out of 62 (62.90%) had fractures due to
low energy trauma and remaining due to high energy trauma.

The main complication following fixation of olecranon
fractures in this study is related to impingement caused
by hardware, mostly related to TBW but rare with PF.
Duckworth et al, Yi-Ming Ren et al in all their studies
showed that there are no significant differences in functional outcome between
both TBW and PF.

CONCLUSIONS

In this retrospective study, both TBW and PF
interventions have similar treatment benefits in transverse
displaced olecranon fractures. Our study shows that there
are no significant differences in operative time periods
and functional outcome between both study groups. Due
to less complication, we recommend the PF approach as
the optimum choice for transverse displaced olecranon
fractures. More large scale studies are required to further
confirm our results.
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